

Appl. No. 08/963,720
Att. Docket No. 10191/538
Reply To Office Action of 7/28/04

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 6 are now pending.

With respect to paragraph three (3) of the Office Action, claims 1 to 6 were rejected under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as to the enablement requirement. To facilitate matters, the Specification and claim 1 have been rewritten to better clarify the subject matter of the present application as suggested by the Examiner on page 5 of the Office Action of July 28, 2004 (although it is respectfully submitted that the claims were allowable as presented in view of their previous language as set forth in the Response filed June 15, 2004).

As indicated above, the Specification provides that “[i]n the next process step 4, each signal segment is transformed into a simulated signal segment by a transmission function in the z plane.” Specification, page 3, lines 31 to 32.

Claim 1 as presented provides for “transforming each of the signal segments into simulated signal segments using a respective transmission function having an input”. It is therefore respectfully submitted that claim 1 is allowable as presented, as are its dependent claims 2 to 6. It is therefore respectfully requested that the enablement rejections be withdrawn.

In summary, it is respectfully submitted that all of claims 1 to 6 are allowable for the foregoing reasons.

Appl. No. 08/963,720
Att. Docket No. 10191/538
Reply To Office Action of 7/28/04

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the rejections have been obviated, and that currently pending claims 1 to 6 are allowable. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejections be withdrawn, and that the present application issue as early as possible.

Dated: 12/10/2004

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

By: Richard L. Mayer

Richard L. Mayer
(Reg. No. 22,490)

One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

33,885
Aron C.
DREITCH)